

DISCRETE PRODUCT SYSTEMS OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL HILBERT SPACES, AND GENERALIZED CUNTZ ALGEBRAS

NEAL J. FOWLER

ABSTRACT. To each discrete product system E of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces we associate a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_E$. When E is the n -dimensional product system over $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{O}_E$ is the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$, and the irrational rotation algebras appear as $\mathcal{O}_E$ for certain one-dimensional product systems over $\mathbb{N}^2$. We give conditions which ensure that $\mathcal{O}_E$ is simple, purely infinite, and nuclear. Our main examples are the lexicographic product systems, for which we obtain slightly stronger results.

INTRODUCTION

Every representation $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B(\mathcal{H})$ of a discrete group G as automorphisms of the algebra $B(\mathcal{H})$ of bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ determines a unique element of $H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$: each α_s is of the form $\text{Ad } U_s$ for some unitary operator U_s , and $U_s U_t = \omega(s, t) U_{st}$ determines a 2-cocycle ω whose cohomology class is independent of the choice of implementing unitaries. If instead one starts with an action of a semigroup P as endomorphisms of $B(\mathcal{H})$, then, as described in [8, Remark 2.3], the cohomological obstruction one encounters is a *product system* over P : roughly speaking, a collection $E = \{E_s : s \in P\}$ of complex Hilbert spaces together with an associative multiplication which implements unitary isomorphisms $E_s \otimes E_t \rightarrow E_{st}$. Product systems were first defined by Arveson [1] in his study of one-parameter semigroups of endomorphisms of $B(\mathcal{H})$.

In this note we continue the investigations of [5, 6, 10, 8, 7] into the C^* -algebras associated with discrete product systems. Each of the algebras studied in these previous papers can be regarded as a Toeplitz algebra: unit vectors of the product system correspond to isometries in the universal C^* -algebra, and finite orthonormal sets of vectors from a fiber E_s map to isometries whose range projections are orthogonal and whose sum is strictly less than the identity. Here we consider only product systems E whose fibers are finite-dimensional, and in our universal C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_E$ the range projections of the isometries associated with an orthonormal basis for any fiber sum to the identity. Hence $\mathcal{O}_E$ is generated by a collection of Cuntz

Date: April 14, 1999.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L55.

The author thanks the University of Victoria, Canada, for its hospitality while this research was being conducted.

algebras, one for each element of the underlying semigroup P ; the relations between these Cuntz algebras are determined by the multiplication in E . We think of $\mathcal{O}_E$ as a semigroup version of the twisted group algebra $C^*(G, \omega)$.

We begin in Section 1 by establishing the existence of $\mathcal{O}_E$ and discussing a few examples. In particular one can recover as $\mathcal{O}_E$ the Cuntz algebras (Remark 1.4) and the irrational rotation algebras (Remark 1.6). In Section 2 we develop some basic results regarding the structure of $\mathcal{O}_E$ when the underlying semigroup P embeds in an abelian group; this is a key hypothesis in most of our results. In Section 3 we prove our main result, Theorem 3.1: if no two fibers of E have the same dimension, then $\mathcal{O}_E$ is simple and purely infinite. For the lexicographic product systems of [8] our Theorem 4.1 gives a sharper simplicity result: $\mathcal{O}_E$ is simple if and only if the dimension function is injective. This shows in particular that $\mathcal{O}_E$ need not be simple even if the only one-dimensional fiber of E is the fiber over the identity of P . For product systems over $\mathbb{N}^2$ we show in Theorem 4.2 that $\mathcal{O}_E$ is either simple or isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_l \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$, where l is determined by the dimensions of the fibers over $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$. Finally, in Theorem 5.1 we generalize a technique of Laca [10] to show that $\mathcal{O}_E$ is nuclear if E admits a twisted unit.

1. THE CUNTZ ALGEBRA OF A PRODUCT SYSTEM

Suppose P is a countable discrete semigroup with identity e . A *product system* over P is a family $p : E \rightarrow P$ of nontrivial separable complex Hilbert spaces $E_t := p^{-1}(t)$ which is endowed with an associative multiplication $E \times E \rightarrow E$ in such a way that p is a semigroup homomorphism, and such that for every $s, t \in P$ the map $x \otimes y \in E_s \otimes E_t \mapsto xy \in E_{st}$ extends to a unitary isomorphism. We also insist that $\dim E_e = 1$, so that E has an identity $1 \in E_e$ [8, Lemma 1.3].

A *representation* of E in a C^* -algebra B is a map $\phi : E \rightarrow B$ which satisfies

- (i) $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for every $x, y \in E$; and
- (ii) $\phi(y)^*\phi(x) = (x | y)\phi(1)$ if $p(x) = p(y)$.

This definition is slightly different than that found in [8], where representations were on Hilbert space and condition (ii) was that $\phi(y)^*\phi(x) = (x | y)1$ if $p(x) = p(y)$. The main advantage to our definition is that it allows us to consider the trivial map $E \rightarrow \{0\}$ as a representation, without regarding $\{0\}$ as a unital C^* -algebra. If $\phi \neq 0$, then $\phi(1)$ is a nontrivial projection which serves as an identity for the C^* -subalgebra $C^*(\phi) \subseteq B$ generated by $\phi(E)$; moreover, ϕ restricts to an isometric linear map on each of the fibers of E [1, p.8].

For nontrivial ϕ , condition (ii) implies that each $\phi(x)$ is a multiple of an isometry in $C^*(\phi)$; indeed, if $\mathcal{B}_t$ is an orthonormal basis for E_t , then $\{\phi(f) : f \in \mathcal{B}_t\}$ is a family of isometries with mutually orthogonal range projections. In this note we will consider only product systems whose fibers are finite-dimensional, and we are primarily interested in representations

$\phi : E \rightarrow B$ which satisfy

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_t} \phi(f)\phi(f)^* = \phi(1) \quad \text{for all } t \in P.$$

We call a representation ϕ which satisfies (1.1) a *Cuntz representation*. While nontrivial representations of E always exist [8, Lemma 1.10], it is not clear that every product system admits a nontrivial Cuntz representation.

Proposition 1.1. *Let E be a product system over P of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. There is a pair $(\mathcal{O}_E, i_E)$ consisting of a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_E$ and a Cuntz representation $i_E : E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$ with the following properties:*

- (a) *for every Cuntz representation ϕ of E , there is a homomorphism ϕ_* of $\mathcal{O}_E$, called the integrated form of ϕ , such that $\phi_* \circ i_E = \phi$; and*
- (b) *$\mathcal{O}_E$ is generated as a C^* -algebra by $i_E(E)$.*

The pair $(\mathcal{O}_E, i_E)$ is unique up to canonical isomorphism.

Remark 1.2. We emphasize that $\mathcal{O}_E$ is trivial if E does not admit a nontrivial Cuntz representation. In all other cases $\mathcal{O}_E$ is unital and i_E is isometric.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. The Proposition can be proved by modifying the standard argument of, for example, [9, Proposition 1.3]. Briefly, let S be a collection of Cuntz representations on Hilbert space which are cyclic (i.e. generate a C^* -algebra which admits a cyclic vector), and such that every cyclic Cuntz representation is unitarily equivalent to an element of S . Define $i_E := \bigoplus_{\phi \in S} \phi$ and $\mathcal{O}_E := C^*(i_E)$. Condition (a) holds because every Cuntz representation of E on a Hilbert space decomposes as the direct sum of a zero representation and a collection of cyclic representations, and the uniqueness assertion follows from a standard argument. $\square$

Example 1.3. (Lexicographic product systems.) For any product system E whose fibers are finite-dimensional, $s \mapsto \dim E_s$ is a semigroup homomorphism from P to the multiplicative positive integers $\mathbb{N}^*$. We call this homomorphism the *dimension function* of E . Let $d : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ be an arbitrary homomorphism. In [8, Examples 1.4(E2)] it was shown how one can construct a product system $E(d)$, called the *lexicographic product system determined by d* , whose dimension function is d : for each n let $\{\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{n-1}\}$ be the canonical basis for $\mathbb{C}^n$, take

$$E(d) := \bigsqcup_{s \in P} \{s\} \times \mathbb{C}^{d(s)},$$

and define multiplication on basis vectors using the lexicographic order on $\{0, \dots, d(r) - 1\} \times \{0, \dots, d(s) - 1\}$; that is,

$$(r, \delta_j)(s, \delta_k) := (rs, \delta_{jd(s)+k}) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq d(r) - 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq d(s) - 1.$$

Multiplication in $E(d)$ is defined by extending bilinearly.

There is a distinguished Cuntz representation of $E(d)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Suppose $r \in P$ and $0 \leq j \leq d(r) - 1$. Let $S(r, \delta_j)$ be the operator on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ whose value on a vector $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is given by

$$S(r, \delta_j)\xi(e^{2\pi it}) := \begin{cases} d(r)^{1/2}\xi(e^{2\pi i(td(r)-j)}) & \text{if } t \in [\frac{j}{d(r)}, \frac{j+1}{d(r)}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $S(r, \delta_j)$ is an isometry, and that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{d(r)-1} S(r, \delta_j)S(r, \delta_j)^* = 1 = S(1).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & S(r, \delta_j)S(s, \delta_k)\xi(e^{2\pi it}) \\ &= \begin{cases} d(r)^{1/2}S(s, \delta_k)\xi(e^{2\pi i(td(r)-j)}) & \text{if } t \in [\frac{j}{d(r)}, \frac{j+1}{d(r)}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} d(r)^{1/2}d(s)^{1/2}\xi(e^{2\pi i((td(r)-j)d(s)-k)}) & \text{if } td(r)-j \in [\frac{k}{d(s)}, \frac{k+1}{d(s)}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} d(rs)^{1/2}\xi(e^{2\pi i(td(rs)-(jd(s)+k)}) & \text{if } t \in [\frac{jd(s)+k}{d(rs)}, \frac{jd(s)+k+1}{d(rs)}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= S(rs, \delta_{jd(s)+k})\xi(e^{2\pi it}), \end{aligned}$$

we have $S(r, \delta_j)S(s, \delta_k) = S(rs, \delta_{jd(s)+k}) = S((r, \delta_j)(s, \delta_k))$. Hence defining

$$S(r, x) := \sum_{j=0}^{d(r)-1} (x \mid \delta_j)S(r, \delta_j) \quad \text{for } (r, x) \in E(d)$$

gives a Cuntz representation $S : E(d) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$.

Remark 1.4. Fix $n \geq 2$ and let d be the homomorphism $a \in \mathbb{N} \mapsto n^a \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{E(d)}$ is the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$.

Example 1.5. (One-dimensional product systems.) Suppose ω is a multiplier of P ; that is, a function $\omega : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that $\omega(e, e) = 1$ and

$$\omega(r, s)\omega(rs, t) = \omega(r, st)\omega(s, t) \quad \text{for all } r, s, t \in P.$$

Then $(r, z)(s, w) := (rs, \omega(r, s)zw)$ for $r, s \in P$ and $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ defines an associative multiplication which gives $P \times \mathbb{C}$ the structure of a product system; we write $(P \times \mathbb{C})^\omega$ for this product system. It is easy to see that every product system whose fibers are one-dimensional is isomorphic to $(P \times \mathbb{C})^\omega$ for some multiplier ω .

Suppose P is an Ore semigroup; that is, suppose P is cancellative and satisfies $Pr \cap Ps \neq \emptyset$ for every pair $r, s \in P$. (For example, every cancellative commutative semigroup has this property.) By [11, Theorem 1.1.2], P can

be embedded in a group G with $P^{-1}P = G$, and by [11, Theorem 1.2.2] there is a multiplier ω' of G which extends ω . Define $l^\omega : (P \times \mathbb{C})^\omega \rightarrow B(\ell^2(G))$ by

$$l^\omega(r, z)\xi(s) := z\omega'(r, r^{-1}s)\xi(r^{-1}s) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \ell^2(G) \text{ and } s \in G.$$

It is routine to check that each $l^\omega(r, 1)$ is unitary and that l^ω is multiplicative. Hence l^ω is a Cuntz representation of $(P \times \mathbb{C})^\omega$.

Remark 1.6. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ be irrational, let $\omega : \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the multiplier $\omega((a, b), (c, d)) := e^{2\pi i \theta bc}$, and let $E = (\mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{C})^\omega$. Then $U := i_E((0, 1), 1)$ and $V := i_E((1, 0), 1)$ are unitaries which generate $\mathcal{O}_E$ and satisfy $UV = e^{2\pi i \theta} VU$, so $\mathcal{O}_E$ is the irrational rotation algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta$.

Example 1.7. (Twisting.) If E is a product system over P and ω is a multiplier of P , then $(x, y) \in E \times E \mapsto \omega(p(x), p(y))xy$ defines a multiplication on E which also gives E the structure of a product system; we write E^ω for this new system, and say E has been *twisted by ω* . If ϕ is a Cuntz representation of E on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, then

$$\phi^\omega(x) := \phi(x) \otimes l^\omega(p(x), 1) \quad \text{for } x \in E^\omega$$

defines a Cuntz representation ϕ^ω of E^ω on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \ell^2(G)$.

The results obtained in the previous examples allow us to state:

Proposition 1.8. *Every twisted lexicographic product system over an Ore semigroup admits a nontrivial Cuntz representation.*

2. PRODUCT SYSTEMS OVER ABELIAN SEMIGROUPS

The following Proposition collects some results concerning the structure of $\mathcal{O}_E$ when P embeds in an abelian group.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose P is a subsemigroup of a countable abelian group G and E is a product system over P of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces.*

(1) *Let ϕ be a Cuntz representation of E , let $s, t \in P$, and let $\mathcal{B}_s$ and $\mathcal{B}_t$ be orthonormal bases for E_s and E_t , respectively. Then for any $x' \in E_s$ and $y' \in E_t$ we have*

$$\phi(y')^* \phi(x') = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}_s} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{B}_t} (x'y \mid y'x) \phi(x) \phi(y)^*.$$

(2) $\mathcal{O}_E = \overline{\text{span}}\{i_E(x)i_E(y)^* : x, y \in E\}$.

(3) *There is a strongly continuous action $\gamma : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathcal{O}_E$, called the gauge action, such that*

$$\gamma_\lambda(i_E(x)) = \lambda(p(x))i_E(x) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \widehat{G} \text{ and } x \in E.$$

(4) *Let m be Haar measure on $\widehat{G}$. Then*

$$\Phi(b) := \int_{\widehat{G}} \gamma_\lambda(b) dm(\lambda) \quad \text{for } b \in \mathcal{O}_E$$

defines a faithful conditional expectation Φ of $\mathcal{O}_E$ onto $\mathcal{O}_E^\gamma$, the fixed-point algebra of the gauge action.

(5) $\mathcal{O}_E^\gamma = \overline{\text{span}}\{i_E(x)i_E(y)^* : x, y \in E, p(x) = p(y)\}$.

Proof. (1) We use (1.1) to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y')^* \phi(x') &= \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}_s} \phi(x) \phi(x)^* \right) \phi(y')^* \phi(x') \left(\sum_{y \in \mathcal{B}_t} \phi(y) \phi(y)^* \right) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}_s} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{B}_t} \phi(x) \phi(y'x)^* \phi(x'y) \phi(y)^* \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}_s} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{B}_t} (x'y \mid y'x) \phi(x) \phi(y)^*, \end{aligned}$$

noting that $p(y'x) = p(x'y)$ since P is abelian.

(2) Take $\phi = i_E$ in (1) to see that the linear span of monomials of the form $i_E(x)i_E(y)^*$ is closed under multiplication, and hence a $*$ -algebra.

(3) For each $\lambda \in \widehat{G}$ the map $x \in E \mapsto \lambda(p(x))i_E(x)$ is a Cuntz representation, and hence integrates to an endomorphism γ_λ of $\mathcal{O}_E$. Since $\gamma_\lambda \circ \gamma_{\lambda^{-1}}$ and $\gamma_{\lambda^{-1}} \circ \gamma_\lambda$ are both the identity on $\mathcal{O}_E$, γ_λ is an automorphism. Obviously γ is a group homomorphism, and its continuity follows from a straightforward $\epsilon/3$ argument.

(4) This is a standard result about automorphic actions of discrete abelian groups.

(5) Since $\Phi(i_E(x)i_E(y)^*) = \delta_{p(x), p(y)} i_E(x)i_E(y)^*$, (5) follows from (2) and the continuity of Φ . $\square$

Our next goal is to give an abstract characterization of the fixed-point algebra $\mathcal{O}_E^\gamma$. First some notation. If $s, t \in P$, $S \in \mathcal{K}(E_s)$ and $T \in \mathcal{K}(E_t)$, write $S \otimes T$ for the operator on E_{st} which satisfies

$$S \otimes T(xy) = (Sx)(Ty) \quad \text{for } x \in E_s \text{ and } y \in E_t.$$

Write 1^t for the identity operator on E_t .

Define a relation $\preceq$ on P by $s \preceq t$ if and only if $t \in sP$, and observe that $\preceq$ is a preorder on P ; that is, it is reflexive and transitive. Since P is commutative, for every pair $s, t \in P$ we have $st \in sP \cap tP$, and hence $(P, \preceq)$ is upwardly directed. Now $S \mapsto S \otimes 1^t$ is a unital embedding of $\mathcal{K}(E_s)$ in $\mathcal{K}(E_{st})$, and since multiplication in E is associative we have $S \otimes 1^{tr} = (S \otimes 1^t) \otimes 1^r$. Hence $S \in \mathcal{K}(E_s) \mapsto S \otimes 1^t \in \mathcal{K}(E_{st})$ is a directed system of C^* -algebras, and we can define

$$\mathcal{F}_E := \varinjlim \mathcal{K}(E_s).$$

Since each $\mathcal{K}(E_s)$ is simple, so is $\mathcal{F}_E$. (It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{F}_E$ is a UHF algebra.) Let ι_s be the canonical embedding of $\mathcal{K}(E_s)$ in $\mathcal{F}_E$.

Proposition 2.2. *There is a unique homomorphism $i_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$ which satisfies*

$$i_{\mathcal{F}}(\iota_{p(x)}(x \otimes \overline{y})) = i_E(x)i_E(y)^* \quad \text{whenever } p(x) = p(y),$$

where $x \otimes \bar{y}$ is the rank-one operator $z \in E_{p(x)} \mapsto (z \mid y)x$. The image of $\mathcal{F}_E$ is precisely $\mathcal{O}_E^\gamma$. If E admits a nontrivial Cuntz representation, then $i_{\mathcal{F}}$ is injective.

Proof. For each $s \in P$, there is a unique homomorphism $\sigma_s : \mathcal{K}(E_s) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$ such that $\sigma_s(x \otimes \bar{y}) = i_E(x)i_E(y)^*$ for all $x, y \in E_s$. Let $\mathcal{B}_t$ be an orthonormal basis for E_t . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{st}((x \otimes \bar{y}) \otimes 1^t) &= \sigma_{st}\left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_t} (x \otimes \bar{y}) \otimes (f \otimes \bar{f})\right) = \sigma_{st}\left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_t} (xf \otimes \bar{y}\bar{f})\right) \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_t} i_E(xf)i_E(yf)^* = i_E(x)\left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_t} i_E(f)i_E(f)^*\right)i_E(y)^* \\ &= i_E(x)i_E(y)^* = \sigma_s(x \otimes \bar{y}), \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that $\sigma_{st}(S \otimes 1^t) = \sigma_s(S)$ for all $S \in \mathcal{K}(E_s)$, and hence there is a homomorphism $i_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$ such that $i_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \iota_s = \sigma_s$ for every $s \in P$. From Proposition 2.1(5) it is obvious that $i_{\mathcal{F}}$ maps $\mathcal{F}_E$ onto $\mathcal{O}_E^\gamma$. If E admits a nontrivial Cuntz representation then i_E is nonzero (see Remark 1.2), hence each σ_s is nonzero, and finally $i_{\mathcal{F}}$ is nonzero. Since $\mathcal{F}_E$ is simple, we deduce that $i_{\mathcal{F}}$ is injective. $\square$

3. SIMPLICITY AND PURE INFINITENESS

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a countable abelian group, let P be a subsemigroup of G which contains the identity, and let E be a product system over P of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces which admits a nontrivial Cuntz representation. If the dimension function $s \mapsto \dim E_s$ is injective, then $\mathcal{O}_E$ is simple and purely infinite.*

To prove the Theorem we require a technical lemma. For the Proposition which precedes it, see [1, Proposition 2.7] and [8, Proposition 1.11 and Lemma 3.6].

Proposition 3.2. *Let E be a product system over P of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, and let ϕ be a Cuntz representation of E in a unital C^* -algebra B such that $\phi(1) = 1$. For each $s \in P$ there is a unital endomorphism α_s^ϕ of B which satisfies*

$$\alpha_s^\phi(b) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_s} \phi(f)b\phi(f)^* \quad \text{for all } b \in B$$

whenever $\mathcal{B}_s$ is an orthonormal basis for E_s . Moreover, α_e is the identity, and

$$\alpha_{st}^\phi(b)\phi(x) = \phi(x)\alpha_t^\phi(b) \quad \text{for all } x \in E_s.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let E be a product system satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, and let ϕ be a Cuntz representation of E in a unital C^* -algebra B such that $\phi(1) = 1$. Suppose $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in E$ satisfy*

$p(x_i) \neq p(y_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $c \in P$ be such that $p(x_i)^{-1}c \in P$ and $p(y_i)^{-1}c \in P$ for every i . Then there is a unit vector $w \in E$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha_c^\phi(\phi(w)\phi(w)^*)\phi(x_i)\phi(y_i)^*\alpha_c^\phi(\phi(w)\phi(w)^*) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof. Define $s_i := p(x_i)^{-1}c$, $t_i := p(y_i)^{-1}c$, and for each $r \in P$ let $\mathcal{B}_r$ be an orthonormal basis for E_r . Suppose $Q \in \phi_*(\mathcal{O}_E)$; we will eventually take $Q = \phi(w)\phi(w)^*$. By Proposition 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_c^\phi(Q)\phi(x_i)\phi(y_i)^*\alpha_c^\phi(Q) &= \phi(x_i)\alpha_{s_i}^\phi(Q)\alpha_{t_i}^\phi(Q)\phi(y_i)^* \\ &= \sum_{f' \in \mathcal{B}_{s_i}} \sum_{g' \in \mathcal{B}_{t_i}} \phi(x_i)\phi(f')Q\phi(f')^*\phi(g')Q\phi(g')^*\phi(y_i)^*, \end{aligned}$$

and by Proposition 2.1(1)

$$Q\phi(f')^*\phi(g')Q = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}_{t_i}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{s_i}} (g'f \mid f'g)Q\phi(g)\phi(f)^*Q,$$

so it suffices to find a unit vector $w \in E$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \phi(w)^*\phi(g)\phi(f)^*\phi(w) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } (f, g) \in \mathcal{B}_{s_i} \times \mathcal{B}_{t_i} \text{ for some } i.$$

Let $(f_l, g_l)_{l=1}^m$ be an enumeration of these pairs. We claim that for each $j \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ there is a unit vector $v_j \in E$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \phi(v_j)^*\phi(g_l)\phi(f_l)^*\phi(v_j) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq j.$$

Given the claim, $w := v_m$ satisfies (3.2), and hence (3.1), completing the proof. The claim is vacuous when $j = 0$: taking any unit vector $v_0 \in E$ works. Suppose inductively that there exists $k \leq m - 1$ such that (3.3) holds when $j = k$. Let $r := p(v_k)$, $s := p(f_{k+1})$, and $t := p(g_{k+1})$. Since $s \neq t$, by hypothesis $\dim E_s \neq \dim E_t$, and we can assume without loss of generality that $\dim E_s < \dim E_t$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(v_k)^*\phi(g_{k+1})\phi(f_{k+1})^*\phi(v_k) \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_s} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}_t} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_r} \phi(g)\phi(g)^*\phi(v_k)^*\phi(g_{k+1})\phi(v)\phi(v)^*\phi(f_{k+1})^*\phi(v_k)\phi(f)\phi(f)^* \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_s} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}_t} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_r} (g_{k+1}v \mid v_k g)(v_k f \mid f_{k+1}v)\phi(g)\phi(f)^* \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_s} \phi(u_f)\phi(f)^*, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u_f := \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}_t} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_r} (g_{k+1}v \mid v_k g)(v_k f \mid f_{k+1}v)g \in E_t.$$

Since $\dim E_s < \dim E_t$, there is a unit vector $v' \in E_t$ which is orthogonal to each u_f , and taking $v_{k+1} := v_k v'$ gives (3.3) for $j = k + 1$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Suppose B is a C^* -algebra and $\pi : \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow B$ is a nonzero homomorphism. We will show that π is injective, thus establishing the simplicity of $\mathcal{O}_E$. It does not harm to assume that π is surjective, so that B is unital and $\pi(1) = 1$.

Recall from Proposition 2.1(4) that there is a faithful expectation Φ of $\mathcal{O}_E$ onto $\mathcal{O}_E^\gamma$, given by averaging over the orbits of the gauge action. We will show that there is an expectation Φ_π of $\pi(\mathcal{O}_E)$ onto $\pi(\mathcal{O}_E^\gamma)$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi_\pi \circ \pi = \pi \circ \Phi.$$

To see that this implies that π is injective, suppose $b \in \ker \pi$. Then $\pi \circ \Phi(b^*b) = \Phi_\pi \circ \pi(b^*b) = 0$, so $\Phi(b^*b) \in \mathcal{O}_E^\gamma \cap \ker \pi$. But $\mathcal{O}_E^\gamma$ is simple and contains the identity of $\mathcal{O}_E$, so $\mathcal{O}_E^\gamma \cap \ker \pi = \{0\}$. Thus $\Phi(b^*b) = 0$, and we deduce from the faithfulness of Φ that $b = 0$.

By Proposition 2.1(2), finite sums of the form $b = \sum i_E(x_i)i_E(y_i)^*$ are dense in $\mathcal{O}_E$. Hence to prove the existence of an expectation Φ_π satisfying (3.4), it suffices to fix such an element b and show that $\|\pi(b)\| \geq \|\pi(\Phi(b))\|$. Thus with $\phi := \pi \circ i_E$, we must show that

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| \sum \phi(x_i)\phi(y_i)^* \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{p(x_i)=p(y_i)} \phi(x_i)\phi(y_i)^* \right\|.$$

Let $c := \prod_i p(x_i)p(y_i)$. Since ϕ is a Cuntz representation of E , the relations (1.1) allow us to assume that $p(x_i) = p(y_i) = c$ whenever $p(x_i) = p(y_i)$. Since $\phi(1) = 1$, Lemma 3.3 applies and provides a unit vector $w \in E$ such that

$$\alpha_c^\phi(\phi(w)\phi(w)^*)\phi(x_i)\phi(y_i)\alpha_c^\phi(\phi(w)\phi(w)^*) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } p(x_i) \neq p(y_i).$$

Let $Q := \phi(w)\phi(w)^*$. Then

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \sum \phi(x_i)\phi(y_i)^* \right\| &\geq \left\| \alpha_c(Q) \sum \phi(x_i)\phi(y_i)^* \alpha_c(Q) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \alpha_c(Q) \sum_{p(x_i)=p(y_i)} \phi(x_i)\phi(y_i)^* \alpha_c(Q) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{p(x_i)=p(y_i)} \phi(x_i)Q\phi(y_i)^* \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \otimes \overline{y} \mapsto \phi(x)Q\phi(y)^*$ and $x \otimes \overline{y} \mapsto \phi(x)\phi(y)^*$ both extend linearly to nontrivial homomorphisms of the simple algebra $\mathcal{K}(E_c)$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{p(x_i)=p(y_i)} \phi(x_i)Q\phi(y_i)^* \right\| = \left\| \sum_{p(x_i)=p(y_i)} \phi(x_i)\phi(y_i)^* \right\|.$$

Combining this with (3.6) gives (3.5), completing the proof of simplicity.

Our proof that $\mathcal{O}_E$ is purely infinite is an adaptation of the proof of [2, Proposition 5.3]. Let A be a hereditary subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_E$; we will show that A has an infinite projection. Fix a positive element $a \in A$, scaled so that $\|\Phi(a)\| = 1$. Choose a finite sum $b = \sum i_E(x_i)i_E(y_i)^* \in \mathcal{O}_E$ such that $b \geq 0$ and $\|a - b\| < 1/4$. Then $b_0 := \Phi(b)$ is also positive and satisfies $\|b_0\| \geq 3/4$.

By applying the relations (1.1) we can assume that there exists $c \in P$ such that $p(x_i) = p(y_i) = c$ whenever $p(x_i) = p(y_i)$, and such that $p(x_i)^{-1}c \in P$ and $p(y_i)^{-1}c \in P$ for all i . Then b_0 is a positive element of the algebra

$$\mathcal{F}_c := \text{span}\{i_E(x)i_E(y)^* : p(x) = p(y) = c\},$$

and hence its image under the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_c \cong \mathcal{K}(E_c)$ has a unit eigenvector $f \in E_c$ with eigenvalue $\|b_0\|$. It follows that $b_0 i_E(f) = \|b_0\| i_E(f)$, and hence the projection $r := i_E(f)i_E(f)^*$ satisfies $rb_0r = \|b_0\|r$.

By Lemma 3.3, there is a unit vector $w \in E$ such that

$$\alpha_c^{i_E}(i_E(w)i_E(w)^*)i_E(x_i)i_E(y_i)\alpha_c^{i_E}(i_E(w)i_E(w)^*) = 0$$

whenever $p(x_i) \neq p(y_i)$. Let $q := i_E(fw)i_E(fw)^*$, noting that $q \leq r$ and $q \leq \alpha_c^{i_E}(i_E(w)i_E(w)^*)$. Then

$$qbq = qb_0q = qrb_0rq = \|b_0\|qrq = \|b_0\|q \geq \frac{3}{4}q,$$

and since $\|a - b\| < 1/4$ we thus have $qaq \geq qbq - \frac{1}{4}q \geq \frac{1}{2}q$. It follows that qaq is invertible in $q\mathcal{O}_E q$. Let c be its inverse, and define $v := c^{1/2}qa^{1/2}$. Then v is a partial isometry since $vv^* = c^{1/2}qaqc^{1/2} = q$, and its initial projection belongs to A since $v^*v = a^{1/2}qcqa^{1/2} \leq \|c\|a$ and A is hereditary. Since $v^*v \sim q = i_E(fw)i_E(fw)^* \sim i_E(fw)^*i_E(fw) = 1$ and $\mathcal{O}_E$ contains nonunitary isometries, we deduce that v^*v is an infinite projection in A . $\square$

4. SIMPLICITY FOR LEXICOGRAPHIC PRODUCT SYSTEMS

For the lexicographic product systems of Example 1.3, we have the following partial converse to Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a countable abelian group, let P be a subsemigroup of G which contains the identity, let $d : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ be a semigroup homomorphism, and let E be the lexicographic product system determined by d . Then $\mathcal{O}_E$ is simple if and only if d is injective.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.8, E admits a nontrivial Cuntz representation, so one direction follows from Theorem 3.1. For the converse, suppose d is not injective. Fix $s, t \in P$ such that $s \neq t$ and $d(s) = d(t)$. We claim that $b := i_E(s, \delta_0) - i_E(t, \delta_0)$ generates a proper ideal $\mathcal{I} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}_E$, so that $\mathcal{O}_E$ is not simple. Let $S : E \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ be the distinguished Cuntz representation defined in Example 1.3. Since $S_*(b) = S(s, \delta_0) - S(t, \delta_0) = 0$ we have $b \in \ker S_*$, and hence $\mathcal{I}$ is not all of $\mathcal{O}_E$. To see that $\mathcal{I}$ is nonzero, choose $\lambda \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\lambda(s) \neq \lambda(t)$, and define $T(r, x) := \lambda(r)S(r, x)$ for all $(r, x) \in E$. Then T is a Cuntz representation of E such that

$$T_*(b) = T(s, \delta_0) - T(t, \delta_0) = (\lambda(s) - \lambda(t))S(s, \delta_0) \neq 0,$$

and we deduce that b , and hence $\mathcal{I}$, is nonzero. $\square$

When $P = \mathbb{N}^2$ we can say a bit more. For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, write $E(m, n)$ for lexicographic product system over $\mathbb{N}^2$ determined by the homomorphism $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mapsto m^a n^b \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Note that $E(m, n) \cong E(n, m)$.

Theorem 4.2. *If $m = 1$, then $\mathcal{O}_{E(m,n)} \cong \mathcal{O}_n \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. If $m, n \geq 2$ and $\log_m n$ is irrational, then $\mathcal{O}_{E(m,n)}$ is simple. If $m, n \geq 2$ and $\log_m n$ is rational, then there exists a unique positive integer l such that $m = l^a$ and $n = l^b$ for some relatively prime positive integers a and b ; for this l we have $\mathcal{O}_{E(m,n)} \cong \mathcal{O}_l \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$.*

The proof of this Theorem is preceded by two Propositions. The first deals with constructing representations of lexicographic product systems over $\mathbb{N}^k$, allowing for $k = \infty$ by defining $\mathbb{N}^\infty := \bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{e_a : 1 \leq a \leq k\}$ be the canonical basis for $\mathbb{N}^k$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $d : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ be a semigroup homomorphism, and let B be a unital C^* -algebra. Suppose $\{U_{a,i} : 1 \leq a \leq k, 0 \leq i \leq d(e_a) - 1\}$ is a set of isometries in B which satisfies*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} U_{a,i}^* U_{a,j} &= 0 && \text{whenever } i \neq j, \text{ and} \\ U_{a,i} U_{b,j} &= U_{b,p} U_{a,q} && \text{whenever } id(e_b) + j = pd(e_a) + q. \end{aligned}$$

Then there is a unique representation $\phi : E(d) \rightarrow B$ such that $\phi(a, \delta_i) = U_{a,i}$, and ϕ is a Cuntz representation if

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{d(e_a)-1} U_{a,i} U_{a,i}^* = 1 \quad \text{for every } a.$$

Proof. Fix $s \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and $m \in \{0, \dots, d(s) - 1\}$. Our first goal is to define $\phi(s, \delta_m)$. In any expression of s as an ordered sum $e_{a_1} + \dots + e_{a_l}$ of basis elements we have $l = \|s\|_1$. For each of these finitely-many ordered l -tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_l)$, it is easy to see that there is a unique way of factoring (s, δ_m) as a product $(e_{a_1}, \delta_{i_1}) \cdots (e_{a_l}, \delta_{i_l})$. These factorizations can be obtained from one another by using the commutation relations

$$(e_a, \delta_i)(e_b, \delta_j) = (e_b, \delta_p)(e_a, \delta_q) \quad \text{whenever } id(e_b) + j = pd(e_a) + q$$

on adjacent factors a finite number of times, and hence (4.1) implies that the corresponding product $U_{a_1, i_1} \cdots U_{a_l, i_l}$ is independent of the factorization; we define $\phi(s, \delta_m)$ to be this common element of B . It is obvious that $\phi(s, \delta_m)\phi(t, \delta_n) = \phi((s, \delta_m)(t, \delta_n))$, and defining

$$\phi(s, x) := \sum_{i=0}^{d(s)-1} (x \mid \delta_i) \phi(s, \delta_i) \quad \text{for } (s, x) \in E(d)$$

gives the desired representation ϕ . If (4.2) holds, then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{d(s)-1} \phi(s, \delta_m) \phi(s, \delta_m)^* = \sum_{i_1=0}^{d(e_{a_1})-1} \cdots \sum_{i_l=0}^{d(e_{a_l})-1} U_{a_1, i_1} \cdots U_{a_l, i_l} U_{a_l, i_l}^* \cdots U_{a_1, i_1}^* = 1,$$

so ϕ is a Cuntz representation. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. $\mathcal{O}_{E(m,n)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{E(m, mn)}$.

Proof. Let $E = E(m, n)$ and $F = E(m, mn)$. Since $\dim F_{(a,b)} = m^a(mn)^b = m^{a+b}n^b = \dim E_{(a+b,b)}$, we can define $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$ by

$$\psi((a, b), x) = i_E((a + b, b), x) \quad \text{for all } ((a, b), x) \in F.$$

It is easy to see that ψ is a Cuntz representation of F , so there is a homomorphism $\psi_* : \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$ such that $\psi_* \circ i_F = \psi$. Note that for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, a^m b^n - 1\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_E((a, b), \delta_j) &= i_E((b, 0), \delta_i)^* i_E((a + b, b), \delta_{im^a n^b + j}) \\ &= \psi((b, 0), \delta_i)^* \psi((a, b), \delta_{im^a n^b + j}); \end{aligned}$$

since elements of the form $i_E((a, b), \delta_j)$ generate $\mathcal{O}_E$, ψ_* is surjective.

To see that ψ_* is injective, we construct its inverse. First define

$$V_{1,i} := i_F((1, 0), \delta_i) \in \mathcal{O}_F \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq m - 1, \text{ and}$$

$$V_{2,k} := i_F((0, 1), \delta_k) \in \mathcal{O}_F \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq mn - 1.$$

Note that the $V_{1,i}$'s and $V_{2,k}$'s are isometries which generate $\mathcal{O}_F$, that $\sum V_{1,i} V_{1,i}^* = 1 = \sum V_{2,k} V_{2,k}^*$, and that

$$V_{1,i} V_{2,k} = V_{2,k'} V_{1,i'} \quad \text{whenever } imn + k = k'm + i'.$$

Now define $U_{1,i} := V_{1,i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ and

$$U_{2,j} := \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} V_{2,jm+l} V_{1,l}^* \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n - 1.$$

It is routine to check that the $U_{2,j}$'s are isometries whose range projections sum to the identity. Suppose $in + j = pm + q$, where $0 \leq i, q \leq m - 1$ and $0 \leq j, p \leq n - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} U_{1,i} U_{2,j} &= \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} V_{1,i} V_{2,jm+l} V_{1,l}^* = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} V_{2,in+j} V_{1,l} V_{1,l}^* \\ &= V_{2,in+m} = V_{2,pm+q} = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} V_{2,pm+l} V_{1,l}^* V_{1,q} = U_{2,p} U_{1,q}, \end{aligned}$$

so by Proposition 4.3 there is a Cuntz representation $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F$ such that $\phi((1, 0), \delta_i) = U_{1,i}$ and $\phi((0, 1), \delta_j) = U_{2,j}$. We check that $\phi_* \circ \psi_*$ is the identity on $\mathcal{O}_F$, from which it follows that ψ_* is injective, and hence an isomorphism: if $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$, then

$$\phi_* \circ \psi_*(V_{1,i}) = \phi_*(\psi((1, 0), \delta_i)) = \phi_*(i_E((1, 0), \delta_i)) = U_{1,i} = V_{1,i};$$

if also $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_* \circ \psi_*(V_{2,in+j}) &= \phi_*(\psi((0, 1), \delta_{in+j})) \\ &= \phi_*(i_E((1, 1), \delta_{in+j})) = U_{1,i} U_{2,j} = V_{2,in+j}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By Proposition 4.3, $\mathcal{O}_{E(1,n)}$ is the universal C^* -algebra for collections $\{U_{1,0}\} \cup \{U_{2,0}, \dots, U_{2,n-1}\}$ of isometries satisfying

$$U_{1,0}U_{1,0}^* = 1, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{2,i}U_{2,i}^* = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad U_{1,0}U_{2,i} = U_{2,i}U_{1,0};$$

i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{E(1,n)} \cong \mathcal{O}_n \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. Suppose $m, n \geq 2$. If $\log_m n$ is irrational, then $d : (a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mapsto m^a n^b$ is injective, and $\mathcal{O}_{E(m,n)}$ is simple by Theorem 4.1. The existence and uniqueness of l is elementary when $\log_m n$ is rational, and repeated applications of Proposition 4.4 give $\mathcal{O}_{E(m,n)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{E(l,1)}$. $\square$

5. NUCLEARITY

Following [5, 10], we call a cross section $u : s \in P \mapsto u_s \in E_s \setminus \{0\}$ a *twisted unit* of E if $u_s u_t \in \mathbb{C}u_{st}$ for every $s, t \in P$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a countable abelian group, let P be a subsemigroup of G which contains the identity, and let E be a product system over P of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. If E admits a twisted unit, then $\mathcal{O}_E$ is nuclear.*

Our proof is modelled on the one given by Laca in [10, Section 3]: we realize $\mathcal{O}_E$ as a twisted semigroup crossed product of an AF algebra by P , and deduce nuclearity from a theorem of Murphy [12, Theorem 3.1]. We begin by recalling the definition of a twisted semigroup crossed product. Let P be as in the theorem, let β be an action of P as endomorphisms of a unital C^* -algebra A , and let ω be a multiplier of P . We call (A, P, β, ω) a *twisted semigroup dynamical system*.

Suppose B is a unital C^* -algebra. An *isometric ω -representation* of P in B is a map $V : P \rightarrow B$ such that each V_s is an isometry and $V_s V_t = \omega(s, t) V_{st}$ for all $s, t \in P$. A *covariant representation* of (A, P, β, ω) in B is a pair (π, V) consisting of a unital homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ and an isometric ω -representation $V : P \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\pi(\beta_s(a)) = V_s \pi(a) V_s^* \quad \text{for all } s \in P \text{ and } a \in A.$$

A *crossed product* for (A, P, β, ω) is a triple (C, i_A, i_P) consisting of a unital C^* -algebra C and a covariant representation (i_A, i_P) of (A, P, β, ω) in C such that

(a) for every covariant representation (π, V) of (A, P, β, ω) in a unital C^* -algebra B , there is a homomorphism $\pi \times V : C \rightarrow B$ such that $(\pi \times V) \circ i_A = \pi$ and $(\pi \times V) \circ i_P = V$; and

(b) C is generated as a C^* -algebra by $i_A(A) \cup i_P(P)$.

The triple (C, i_A, i_P) is unique up to canonical isomorphism.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let u be a twisted unit for E . By replacing u_s with $\|u_s\|^{-1} u_s$, we can assume that each u_s is a unit vector. Then $u_s u_t = \omega(s, t) u_{st}$ determines a multiplier ω of P .

As in Section 2, let $\mathcal{F}_E$ be the inductive limit $\varinjlim \mathcal{K}(E_s)$ under the embeddings $S \in \mathcal{K}(E_s) \mapsto S \otimes 1^t \in \mathcal{K}(E_{st})$, and let ι_s be the canonical embedding of $\mathcal{K}(E_s)$ in $\mathcal{F}_E$. Since tensoring on the left by the rank-one projection $u_r \otimes \overline{u_r}$ commutes with tensoring on the right by the identity, for each $r \in P$ there is an endomorphism β_r of $\mathcal{F}_E$ which satisfies

$$\beta_r(\iota_s(S)) = \iota_{rs}((u_r \otimes \overline{u_r}) \otimes S) \quad \text{for all } s \in P \text{ and } S \in \mathcal{K}(E_s).$$

Note that β_r is injective. Moreover, for any $a \in \mathcal{F}_E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_r \circ \beta_s(a) &= (u_r \otimes \overline{u_r}) \otimes (u_s \otimes \overline{u_s}) \otimes a = (u_r u_s \otimes \overline{u_r u_s}) \otimes a \\ &= (\omega(r, s) u_{rs} \otimes \overline{\omega(r, s) u_{rs}}) \otimes a = (u_{rs} \otimes \overline{u_{rs}}) \otimes a = \beta_{rs}(a), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\beta : P \rightarrow \text{End } \mathcal{F}_E$ is a semigroup homomorphism.

Let $i_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$ be the embedding of Proposition 2.2, and define $i_P : P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$ by $i_P(s) := i_E(u_s)$. We claim that $(\mathcal{O}_E, i_{\mathcal{F}}, i_P)$ is a crossed product for the twisted semigroup dynamical system $(\mathcal{F}_E, P, \beta, \omega)$. Each $i_P(s)$ is an isometry, and $i_P(s)i_P(t) = i_E(u_s u_t) = \omega(s, t)i_E(u_{st}) = \omega(s, t)i_P(st)$, so i_P is an isometric ω -representation of P in $\mathcal{O}_E$. If $x, y \in E_s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta_r(\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{y}))) &= i_{\mathcal{F}}(\iota_{rs}((u_r \otimes \overline{u_r}) \otimes (x \otimes \overline{y}))) = i_{\mathcal{F}}(\iota_{rs}(u_r x \otimes \overline{u_r y})) \\ &= i_E(u_r x) i_E(u_r y)^* = i_P(r) i_{\mathcal{F}}(\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{y})) i_P(r)^*, \end{aligned}$$

and since elements of the form $\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{y})$ have dense linear span in $\mathcal{F}_E$, we deduce that $i_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta_r(a)) = i_P(r) i_{\mathcal{F}}(a) i_P(r)^*$ for all $r \in P$ and $a \in \mathcal{F}_E$. Thus $(i_{\mathcal{F}}, i_P)$ is a covariant representation of $(\mathcal{F}_E, P, \beta, \omega)$ in $\mathcal{O}_E$.

We now verify condition (a) of a crossed product. Suppose (π, V) is a covariant representation of $(\mathcal{F}_E, P, \beta, \omega)$ in a unital C^* -algebra B . Define $\phi : E \rightarrow B$ by

$$\phi(x) := \pi(\iota_{p(x)}(x \otimes \overline{u_{p(x)}})) V_{p(x)} \quad \text{for } x \in E.$$

We claim that ϕ is a Cuntz representation of E in B . If $x, y \in E_s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y)^* \phi(x) &= V_s^* \pi(\iota_s(y \otimes \overline{u_s}))^* \pi(\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{u_s})) V_s \\ &= V_s^* \pi(\iota_s((u_s \otimes \overline{y})(x \otimes \overline{u_s}))) V_s \\ &= (x \mid y) V_s^* \pi(\iota_s(u_s \otimes \overline{u_s})) V_s \\ &= (x \mid y) V_s^* \pi(\beta_s(1)) V_s = (x \mid y) 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) \phi(y)^* &= \pi(\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{u_s})) V_s V_s^* \pi(\iota_s(y \otimes \overline{u_s}))^* \\ (5.1) \quad &= \pi(\iota_s((x \otimes \overline{u_s})(u_s \otimes \overline{y}))) = \pi(\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{y})). \end{aligned}$$

With $\mathcal{B}_s$ an orthonormal basis for E_s , (5.1) gives

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_s} \phi(f) \phi(f)^* = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_s} \pi(\iota_s(f \otimes \overline{f})) = \pi(\iota_s(1)) = 1 = \phi(1).$$

To see that ϕ is multiplicative, suppose $x \in E_s$ and $y \in E_t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x)\phi(y) &= \pi(\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{u_s}))V_s\pi(\iota_t(y \otimes \overline{u_t}))V_t \\
&= \pi(\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{u_s}))\pi(\beta_s(\iota_t(y \otimes \overline{u_t})))V_sV_t \\
&= \pi(\iota_{st}((x \otimes \overline{u_s}) \otimes 1^t)((u_s \otimes \overline{u_s}) \otimes (y \otimes \overline{u_t})))V_sV_t \\
&= \pi(\iota_{st}((x \otimes \overline{u_s}) \otimes (y \otimes \overline{u_t})))V_sV_t \\
&= \pi(\iota_{st}(xy \otimes \overline{u_s u_t}))V_sV_t \\
&= \pi(\iota_{st}(xy \otimes \overline{u_{st}}))\overline{\omega(s, t)}V_sV_t \\
&= \pi(\iota_{st}(xy \otimes \overline{u_{st}}))V_{st} = \phi(xy).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus ϕ is a Cuntz representation of E .

Let $\pi \times V : \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow B$ be the integrated form of ϕ ; that is, $\pi \times V$ satisfies $(\pi \times V) \circ i_E = \phi$. We claim that $(\pi \times V) \circ i_{\mathcal{F}} = \pi$ and $(\pi \times V) \circ i_P = V$, giving condition (a) of a crossed product. If $x, y \in E_s$, then by (5.1) we have

$$(\pi \times V) \circ i_{\mathcal{F}}(\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{y})) = \pi \times V(i_E(x)i_E(y)^*) = \phi(x)\phi(y)^* = \pi(\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{y})),$$

and since elements of the form $\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{y})$ have dense linear span in $\mathcal{F}_E$, we deduce that $(\pi \times V) \circ i_{\mathcal{F}} = \pi$. For the second part of the claim, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi \times V) \circ i_P(s) &= \pi \times V(i_E(u_s)) = \phi(u_s) \\
&= \pi(\iota_s(u_s \otimes \overline{u_s}))V_s = \pi(\beta_s(1))V_s = V_sV_s^*V_s = V_s.
\end{aligned}$$

To verify condition (b) of a crossed product, suppose $x \in E_s$. Then

$$i_E(x) = i_E(x)i_E(u_s)^*i_E(u_s) = i_{\mathcal{F}}(\iota_s(x \otimes \overline{u_s}))i_P(s) \in C^*(i_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F}_E) \cup i_P(P)),$$

and since $\mathcal{O}_E$ is generated as a C^* -algebra by $i_E(E)$, this shows that it is also generated by $i_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F}_E) \cup i_P(P)$, as required.

We have shown that $(\mathcal{O}_E, i_{\mathcal{F}}, i_P)$ is a crossed product for $(\mathcal{F}_E, P, \beta, \omega)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_E$ is the twisted semigroup crossed product of a nuclear C^* -algebra by an abelian semigroup of injective endomorphisms, it is nuclear by [12, Theorem 3.1]. $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *If E is a twisted lexicographic product system over a subsemigroup of a countable abelian group, then $\mathcal{O}_E$ is nuclear.*

Proof. Since $u_s := (s, \delta_0)$ is a twisted unit for E , Theorem 5.1 applies. $\square$

Remark 5.3. Suppose P is a subsemigroup of a countable abelian group, $d : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$ is an injective homomorphism, and ω is a multiplier of P . Let $E = E(d)^\omega$ be the lexicographic product system $E(d)$ twisted by ω . Then $\mathcal{O}_E$ is a separable, unital C^* -algebra which is simple and purely infinite (Theorem 3.1) and nuclear (Corollary 5.2). If $P = \mathbb{N}^k$ and ω is trivial (i.e., $E = E(d)$), then by the proof of Theorem 5.1 $\mathcal{O}_E$ is the crossed product of an AF algebra by $\mathbb{N}^k$, hence a full corner of the crossed product of an AF algebra by $\mathbb{Z}^k$, and hence belongs to the bootstrap class of algebras which satisfy the Universal Coefficient Theorem [3, Theorem 23.1.1].

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Arveson, *Continuous analogues of Fock space*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1989), No. 409.
- [2] T. Bates, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and W. Szymański, *The C^* -algebras of row-finite graphs*, preprint.
- [3] B. Blackadar, *K -theory for Operator Algebras, Second Edition*, MSRI Publications 5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [4] J. Cuntz, *Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 173–185.
- [5] H. T. Dinh, *Discrete product systems and their C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **102** (1991), 1–34.
- [6] H. T. Dinh, *On generalized Cuntz C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **30** (1993), 123–135.
- [7] N. J. Fowler, *Compactly-aligned discrete product systems, and generalizations of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$* , International J. Math., to appear.
- [8] N. J. Fowler and I. Raeburn, *Discrete product systems and twisted crossed products by semigroups*, J. Funct. Anal. **155** (1998), 171–204.
- [9] N. J. Fowler and I. Raeburn, *The Toeplitz algebra of a Hilbert bimodule*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear.
- [10] M. Laca, *Discrete product systems with twisted units*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **52** (1995), 317–326.
- [11] M. Laca, *From endomorphisms to automorphisms and back: dilations and full corners*, preprint.
- [12] G. J. Murphy, *Crossed products of C^* -algebras by endomorphisms*, Int. Eq. and Operator Theory **24** (1996), 298–319.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, NSW 2308, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: neal@math.newcastle.edu.au